

Solving conics over $\mathbb{Q}(t_1, \dots, t_k)$.

Mark van Hoeij
 Department of Mathematics
 Florida State University
 Tallahassee, FL 32306-3027, USA
 hoeij@math.fsu.edu

February 1, 2008

Abstract

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ and $a, b, c \in K$. We give a simple algorithm to find, if it exists, X, Y, Z in K , not all zero, for which $aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2 = 0$.

1 Introduction

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ and $a, b, c \in K$. Our goal is to find, if it exists, a solution $X, Y, Z \in K$, not all zero, for which

$$aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2 = 0. \quad (1)$$

We will use projective coordinates $(X : Y : Z) \in P^2(K)$ to indicate that at least one of $X, Y, Z \in K$ must be non-zero, and that $(sX : sY : sZ)$ will be identified with $(X : Y : Z)$ for any nonzero $s \in K$.

The case $k = 0$ ($K = \mathbb{Q}$) was solved by Legendre, who gave a criterion (local-global principle for conics) to decide if a solution $(X, Y, Z) \in P^2(\mathbb{Q})$ exists. He also gave an algorithm to find a solution.

Legendre showed that a solution $(X : Y : Z) \in P^2(\mathbb{Q})$ exists if and only if a so-called *solubility certificate* exists. The non-trivial part is to show that existence of this certificate implies the existence of a solution $(X : Y : Z)$. We sketch a textbook proof, with an improvement given in [CM98], because our algorithm will follow the same approach. Use the solubility certificate to construct a certain lattice L which has the property that $aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{abc}$ for every $(X, Y, Z) \in L$, and use Minkowski's theorem to show that L contains a non-zero element (X, Y, Z) for which $|a|X^2 + |b|Y^2 + |c|Z^2 < 2abc$. If $aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2$ is not already 0, it must be $\pm abc$, and a transformation yields new X, Y, Z for which $aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2 = 0$. Alternatively, in [CM98] it is shown that one can construct a lattice $L' \subset L$ such that $aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2abc}$ for every $(X, Y, Z) \in L'$ and moreover that this L' still contains a

non-zero element (X, Y, Z) for which $|a|X^2 + |b|Y^2 + |c|Z^2 < 2abc$ and hence $aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2 = 0$. Given a solubility certificate, one can quickly find such (X, Y, Z) with the [LLL82] lattice reduction algorithm and Lemma 2.7 in [CR03] (where an even faster algorithm was given as well).

In the proof of Theorem 1 we will follow the same ideas for $K = \mathbb{Q}(t_1, \dots, t_k)$. Although this involves additional notation, some details will be easier since the lattice arguments for $k = 0$ simplify to linear algebra when $k > 0$.

2 The solubility certificate

If $abc = 0$ then the problem is trivial (if for example $a = 0$ then $(1 : 0 : 0)$ is a solution). If $k = 0$ and if a, b, c have the same sign then there is no solution, not even over $\mathbb{R}$. From now on we assume

$$abc \neq 0, \text{ and if } k = 0 \text{ then } a, b, c \text{ do not all have the same sign.} \quad (2)$$

After multiplying by denominators we may assume that

$$a, b, c \in R_k := \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \mathbb{Q}[t_1, \dots, t_k] & \text{if } k > 0 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Let $g = \gcd(a, b)$, where $\gcd$ refers to the greatest common divisor in R_k . If $g \neq 1$ then a, b, c will be replaced by $a/g, b/g, cg$. The new conic is equivalent to the old conic under $(X : Y : Z) \mapsto (X : Y : Z/g)$. The same is done for $\gcd(b, c)$ and $\gcd(c, a)$, after which we get

$$\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, c) = \gcd(c, a) = 1 \quad (4)$$

A non-trivial square is a square of a non-zero $d \in R_k$ that is not a unit (so $d^2 \neq 1$ if $k = 0$ and $d \notin \mathbb{Q}$ if $k > 0$). If a, b or c is divisible by a non-trivial square then we can divide and obtain an equivalent conic. Thus, we may assume that a, b, c are not divisible by non-trivial squares, which because of (4) is equivalent to

$$abc \text{ is not divisible by a non-trivial square.} \quad (5)$$

Given equation (1), the above describes Legendre's procedure to compute a *reduced form* of this equation, which means:

Definition 1 *An equation $aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2 = 0$ is in reduced form if a, b, c satisfy assumptions (2), (3), (4) and (5).*

In this paper, if $k > 0$, then the *leading coefficient* resp. *degree* of a polynomial refers to the leading coefficient resp. degree with respect to t_k . If $k > 0$ then denote $d_a, d_b, d_c \in \mathbb{N}$ as the degrees of a, b, c . Denote $l_a, l_b, l_c \in \mathbb{Q}[t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}]$ as the leading coefficients of a, b, c . Set

$$\text{case} := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k > 0 \text{ and } d_a \equiv d_b \equiv d_c \pmod{2} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If a solution $(X : Y : Z) \in P^2(K)$ of equation (1) exists, then

$$\begin{cases} \text{case} = 1, \text{ or} \\ l_a x^2 + l_b y^2 + l_c z^2 = 0 \text{ has a solution in } P^2(\mathbb{Q}(t_1, \dots, t_{k-1})). \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Proof: Assume $k > 0$. After scaling we may assume that $X, Y, Z \in R_k$. Let d be the maximum of the degrees (always with respect to t_k) of aX^2 , bY^2 , and cZ^2 . If the degree of aX^2 is d then let x be the leading coefficient of X , otherwise set $x = 0$. By doing the same for y and z one finds a solution $(x : y : z)$. $\square$

Denote

$$\tilde{R}_k := \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \mathbb{Q}(t_1, \dots, t_{k-1})[t_k] & \text{if } k > 0 \end{cases}$$

Let $\text{Factors}(a)$ denote the set of all irreducible $p \in \tilde{R}_k$ that divide a , with the additional condition that p must be positive (and hence a prime number) when $k = 0$, and p must be monic (leading coefficient 1) when $k > 0$. Denote

$$\mathbf{F}_p := \tilde{R}_k / (p).$$

Introduce a new variable T and let $f_a, f_b, f_c \in R_k[T]$ be the following polynomials

$$f_a := bT^2 + c, \quad f_b := cT^2 + a, \quad f_c := aT^2 + b.$$

For $f \in \tilde{R}_k[T]$, the image in $\mathbf{F}_p[T]$ is denoted as $f \bmod p$.

Definition 2 Let $aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2 = 0$ be in reduced form (see Definition 1). Then a solubility certificate is a list containing the following:

- For every $p \in \text{Factors}(a)$, a root of $f_a \bmod p$ in $\mathbf{F}_p$
- For every $p \in \text{Factors}(b)$, a root of $f_b \bmod p$ in $\mathbf{F}_p$
- For every $p \in \text{Factors}(c)$, a root of $f_c \bmod p$ in $\mathbf{F}_p$
- If case = 0, a reduced form plus solubility certificate for equation (6).

Note that for $k = 0$, the standard definition is formulated differently, namely the roots are combined by Chinese remaindering. So the standard definition of a solubility certificate is a list of three integers k_a, k_b, k_c that satisfy $f_a \bmod a$, $f_b \bmod b$, and $f_c \bmod c$ respectively.

Lemma 1 Assume equation (1) is in reduced form and has a solution in $P^2(K)$. Then a solubility certificate exists.

Proof: Let $(X : Y : Z)$ be a solution. After scaling we may assume that $X, Y, Z \in R_k$ with $\gcd(X, Y, Z) = 1$. For case = 0 we have already shown that equation (6) has a solution by considering the leading coefficients of X, Y, Z . So equation (6) has a solubility certificate by induction. The remainder of the proof is the same as for the $k = 0$ case, we include it for completeness since our notations are slightly different.

Let $p \in \text{Factors}(a)$. Now $0 = aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2$ reduces to $bY^2 + cZ^2 \bmod p$. Since b, c do not vanish mod p , it follows that $\overline{Y}, \overline{Z}$ are either both zero or both

not zero, where $\overline{Y}, \overline{Z}$ denote the images of Y, Z in $\mathbf{F}_p$. The first case is ruled out since it would imply that $bY^2 + cZ^2$ and hence aX^2 would be divisible by p^2 , which implies that X is divisible by p , contradicting $\gcd(X, Y, Z) = 1$. Thus, $\overline{Y}, \overline{Z}$ are not zero. Then $f_a \bmod p$ has $\pm \overline{Y}/\overline{Z} \in \mathbf{F}_p$ as roots. Repeating this for f_b resp. f_c for the factors of b resp. c it follows that a solubility certificate exists. $\square$

2.1 Algorithmic remarks

To compute (if it exists) a solubility certificate we use:

1. A factorization algorithm for $\tilde{R}_k$.
2. A factorization algorithm for $\mathbf{F}_p[T]$.
3. If $\text{case} = 0$ we need items 1 and 2 for $k - 1$ as well.

Such algorithms are available in several computer algebra systems. If $k = 0$ then item 1 can take much time, while the time spent on item 2 is negligible in comparison. If $k > 0$, it is more or less the other way around. When $k > 0$ the time spent on items 1 and 2 is polynomially bounded in terms of the degrees and logarithmic heights, provided that k is fixed. So for fixed k , one can compute a solubility certificate in polynomial time *except* if $\text{case} = 0$ at each of the stages $k, k - 1, \dots, 2, 1$ because then we need to factor in $\tilde{R}_0 = \mathbb{Z}$ for which no polynomial time algorithm is known. Having to factor integers can sometimes, but not always, be avoided by interchanging variables.

Remark. A solubility certificate corresponds to a subset of the conic.

If equation (1) has a solution in $P^2(K)$ then the number of solubility certificates equals 2^n for some integer n , because for each p , one has to choose one of the two roots (one root if $p = 2$). Every certificate corresponds to a non-empty (see Theorem 1) subset of the conic, where the word conic refers to the set of solutions of equation (1) in $P^2(K)$. Grouping together those subsets/certificates that correspond under $(X : Y : Z) \mapsto (\pm X : \pm Y : \pm Z)$, one writes the conic as a disjoint union of non-empty subsets $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{2^m}$ for some integer $m \geq 0$. Given one point on the conic, one can write down a *parametrization*, a birational map Ψ from $P^1(K)$ to the conic. Then every point on the conic can be written as $\Psi(u : v)$ for some $(u : v) \in P^1(K)$. Nevertheless, one can construct examples with $k = 0$, $m > 0$, with a point in one S_i given, where it would require a breakthrough in integer factorization to find a point in any S_j with $j \neq i$. This is because going from one S_i to another is equivalent to finding a non-trivial factor of a , b or c .

Although the above remark is not needed for our algorithm, it is useful to know that a solubility certificate corresponds to a subset of the conic, and that the algorithm in the next section finds an element of that subset. So any certificate will lead to a point on the conic, however, a much nicer point (smaller degrees or heights) might be found by trying 2^m certificates.

3 Finding a point on the conic

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ where $t_1, \dots, t_k$ are variables and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Algorithm FindPoint

Input: $k, t_1, \dots, t_k, a, b, c \in K$ satisfying assumptions (2,3,4,5), and a solubility certificate.

Output: A solution $(X : Y : Z) \in P^2(K)$ of $aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2 = 0$.

1. If $k = 0$ then use Algorithm II or III in [CR03] and stop.
2. Let d_a, d_b, d_c be the degrees (with respect to t_k) of a, b, c .
3. If $d_a \equiv d_b \equiv d_c \pmod{2}$ then $\text{case} := 0$, otherwise $\text{case} := 1$ and $E := \emptyset$.
4. Let A, B, C be:

$$\lceil \frac{d_b + d_c}{2} \rceil - \text{case}, \quad \lceil \frac{d_c + d_a}{2} \rceil - \text{case}, \quad \lceil \frac{d_a + d_b}{2} \rceil - \text{case}$$

and set

$$X := \sum_{i=0}^A X_i t_k^i, \quad Y := \sum_{i=0}^B Y_i t_k^i, \quad Z := \sum_{i=0}^C Z_i t_k^i$$

where the X_i, Y_i, Z_i are new variables.

5. If $\text{case} = 0$, let $l_a, l_b, l_c \in \mathbb{Q}[t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}]$ be the leading coefficients of a, b, c . Let $(x : y : z)$ be a solution of equation (6), see the Remark below. Introduce a new variable w and set $E := \{X_A - xw, Y_B - yw, Z_C - zw\}$.
6. For every $p \in \text{Factors}(a)$, let $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_p$ be a root of $f_a \pmod{p}$. Lift α to $\tilde{R}_k$, divide $Y - \alpha Z$ by p and let r be the remainder. Write $r = \sum_{i < d} r_i t_k^i$ where d is the degree of p . Then r_i is a $\mathbb{Q}(t_1, \dots, t_{k-1})$ -linear combination of Y_j 's and Z_j 's. Now set $E := E \cup \{r_0, \dots, r_{d-1}\}$. Repeat this for f_b resp. f_c for all p in $\text{Factors}(b)$ resp. $\text{Factors}(c)$.
7. Equate all elements of E to 0, resulting in a system of homogeneous linear equations for the variables X_i, Y_i, Z_i (and w if $\text{case} = 0$). Solve this system and substitute the general solution into X, Y, Z . Multiply (X, Y, Z) by the least common multiple of the denominators of X, Y, Z . Then divide by $\gcd(X, Y, Z)$. Return $(X : Y : Z)$ and stop.

Remark on step 5: For the algorithm it is more convenient to replace “a reduced form and a solubility certificate for equation (6)” in Definition 2 by “a solution $(x : y : z)$ of (6)”. Induction resp. recursion shows that this is mathematically resp. algorithmically equivalent.

Theorem 1 *Assume equation (1) is in reduced form. Then it has a solution in $P^2(K)$ if and only if a solubility certificate exists.*

Proof: If $k = 0$ then this is Legendre's theorem. Assume we have a solubility certificate and that $k > 0$. It suffices to prove that Algorithm FindPoint works. For step 5 see the Remark above. The general solution of system E in step 7 is not zero because the number of variables is the number of equations plus 1. Therefore, the notation $(X : Y : Z)$ in step 7 is correct, that is, X, Y, Z are not all zero. Let D be the degree of abc . By construction, $aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2$ has degree $\leq \max\{d_a + 2A, d_b + 2B, d_c + 2C\} = D - \text{case}$. Furthermore, it is divisible by every p in $\text{Factors}(a)$, $\text{Factors}(b)$ and $\text{Factors}(c)$ because equations E are satisfied. Then assumption (5) from section 2 implies that $aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2$ is divisible by abc hence it can be written as $s \cdot abc$ for some s . Comparing degrees, it follows that $s = 0$ when $\text{case} = 1$. If $\text{case} = 0$, then the t_k^D coefficient in $aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2$ is (see step 5) w^2 times the left-hand side of equation (6), which equals 0. It follows that $aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2 = 0$.

The free variable(s) in the general solution of E will disappear in step 7 when we divide by $\gcd(X, Y, Z)$ because the conic does not contain any lines (it is non-degenerate by assumption (2)). So the solution of equation (1) returned by the algorithm will be an element of $P^2(K)$. $\square$

4 Algorithmic details

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ where $t_1, \dots, t_k$ are variables and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Algorithm ReduceConic

Input: $k, t_1, \dots, t_k, a, b, c \in K$ satisfying assumption (2).

Output: a_1, b_1, c_1 for which $a_1X^2 + b_1Y^2 + c_1Z^2 = 0$ is the reduced form of (1).

1. Multiply (a, b, c) by the least common multiple of the denominators.
2. $g := \gcd(a, b)$. Set $a := a/g, b := b/g, c := cg$, and store g .
3. $g := \gcd(b, c)$. Set $b := b/g, c := c/g, a := ag$, and store g .
4. $g := \gcd(c, a)$. Set $c := c/g, a := a/g, b := bg$, and store g .
5. If $k = 0$ then let A, B, C be the absolute values of a, b, c and do
 - (a) Compute all prime factors of A that can be calculated reasonably quickly; this includes all small prime factors, as well as A itself if A is prime (note that rigorous primality proofs are not needed because correctness of the parts of the algorithm that use primality can be verified afterwards). Storing the g 's in previous steps may help; a partial factorization of A may already be known. If any prime factors were found, store them, divide A by them, and repeat.
 - (b) Now A no longer contains easily computable prime factors, which implies that A is not a prime. Check if A is a square. If so, store the square root. Otherwise, apply a factorization algorithm to A that finds at least one non-trivial factor and go back to the previous step.

- (c) At this stage enough information has been computed to write $a = a_1 a_2^2$ where a_1, a_2 are integers, a_1 is square-free, and the factorization of a_1 (but in general not a_2) is known.
- (d) Apply the same process to B and C .
- 6. If $k > 0$ then apply square-free factorization to write a as $a_1 a_2^2$ for some $a_1, a_2 \in \tilde{R}_k$ with a_1 square-free. Now factor a_1 in $\tilde{R}_k$. Note that the *contents* of a_1 (the largest $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ for which $a_1/r \in R_k$) will usually be an integer. This integer does not need to be square-free and should not be factored. Apply the same process to b and c .
- 7. Return a_1, b_1, c_1 and stop.

If $k = 0$, each time a prime p dividing A is found, we can check if f_a can be factored mod p , since if it remains irreducible, then the conic has no points over $\mathbb{Q}$ and so there is no reason to continue. Apart from the output, one also needs to store a_2, b_2, c_2 and the g 's from steps 2, 3, 4 since this information is needed to map solutions of the reduced equation to solutions of the original equation.

Algorithm Conic

Input: $k, t_1, \dots, t_k, a, b, c \in K$.

Output: A solution $(X : Y : Z) \in P^2(K)$ of equation (1) if such solution exists.

- 1. If $a = 0$ then return $(1 : 0 : 0)$ and stop.
 If $b = 0$ then return $(0 : 1 : 0)$ and stop.
 If $c = 0$ then return $(0 : 0 : 1)$ and stop.
- 2. If $k = 0$ and a, b, c have the same sign then return “no solution exists” and stop.
- 3. Replace a, b, c by the output of Algorithm ReduceConic, and determine from the stored information a map ϕ that maps solutions of the reduced equation to solutions of the original equation.
- 4. Compute a solubility certificate:
 - (a) For each p in the factors of a resp. b resp. c , factor the image of f_a resp. f_b resp. f_c in $\mathbf{F}_p[T]$. If irreducible, then return “no solution exists” and stop. Otherwise, store one of the roots (this root will be used in step 6 of Algorithm FindPoint).
 - (b) If case = 0 then, instead of computing a solubility certificate for equation (6), apply Algorithm Conic to find a solution $(x : y : z)$ of (6). If this recursive call returned “no solution exists” then return the same message and stop.
- 5. Let $(X : Y : Z)$ be the output of Algorithm FindPoint.
- 6. Return $\phi(X : Y : Z)$ and stop.

If F is a field for which the necessary ingredients are available (an implementation to solve a conic over F , and the factorization algorithms mentioned in section 2.1) then $F(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ can be handled as well. If a conic implementation over F is not available, Algorithm Conic in this paper could still be useful since it would still work when $\text{case} = 1$, $k > 0$.

5 Examples

An implementation in Maple is available at
<http://www.math.fsu.edu/~hoeij/files/ConicProgram>

Example 1.

Let $a := 1$, $b := 2t_2^2 + 2t_1t_2 - t_1^2$, and $c := -3t_2^4 - 4t_1t_2^3 + 8t_1^2t_2^2 + 16t_1^3t_2 - 48t_1^4$. The implementation does not always find the same solution, see the remarks at the end of section 2.1. As output, one encounters for example

$$(t_2^3 + t_1t_2^2 + 4t_1^2t_2 - 4t_1^3 : t_2^2 - 4t_1^2 : t_2)$$

but also

$$(t_2^3 + 2t_1^2t_2 + 8t_1^3 : t_2^2 + 2t_1t_2 - 4t_1^2 : t_2 + t_1).$$

Example 2.

$a := t_1^2 + 1$, $b := -(t_1^2 + 1)t_2^2 + (4t_1^2 + 4)t_2 - 1$, $c := (2t_1^3 - 10t_1^2 + 2t_1 - 9)t_2^4 - (6t_1^3 + 6t_1 - 2)t_2^3 + (t_1^4 - 8t_1^3 - 2t_1^2 - 6t_1 - 2)t_2^2 - (4t_1^4 + 2t_1^2 - 2t_1 - 2)t_2 - 1$. The implementation may find

$$(t_2^3 - t_2^2 + t_2 - 1 : t_2^2 + t_2 + t_1 : 1)$$

but may also find a more complicated solution, depending on which solubility certificate it chose.

References

- [CM98] T. Cochrane, and P. Mitchell. *Small solutions of the Legendre equation*. J. Number Theory **70** (1998), no. 1, pp. 62–66.
- [CR03] J. Cremona, and D. Rusin. *Efficient solution of rational conics*, Math. Comp. **72** (2003), no. 243, pp. 1417–1441.
- [LLL82] A. K. Lenstra, H. W. Lenstra, Jr., and L. Lovász. *Factoring polynomials with rational coefficients*, Math. Ann. **261** (1982), no. 4, pp. 515–534.